# Global Liquidity, House Prices, and the Macroeconomy: Evidence from Advanced and Emerging Economies

Ambrogio Cesa-Bianchi<sup>1</sup> Luis F. Cespedes<sup>2</sup> Alessandro Rebucci<sup>3</sup>

Bank of England & Centre for Macroeconomics
 Universidad Adolfo Ibanez
 Johns Hopkins University Carey Business School

Workshop on "International Monetary and Financial System: Short-term Challenges, Long-term Solutions" 14 June 2015

#### **Disclaimer**

The views expressed in this paper are solely those of the authors and should not be taken to represent those of the Bank of England.

#### **Motivation**

- ▶ Booms and busts in the non-tradable sector, often fuelled by excessive credit expansion and overvalued exchange rates
- Surges and sudden reversals in cross-border capital flows

#### Motivation

- ▶ Booms and busts in the non-tradable sector, often fuelled by excessive credit expansion and overvalued exchange rates
- Surges and sudden reversals in cross-border capital flows
- Housing and global liquidity
  - Housing: quintessential non-tradable asset/durable good
  - Global liquidity: important determinant of international capital flows

#### Contribution

New quarterly house price data set for 33 emerging markets from 1990 to 2012

#### Contribution

- ► New quarterly house price data set for 33 emerging markets from 1990 to 2012
- New set of house price stylized facts

#### Contribution

- New quarterly house price data set for 33 emerging markets from 1990 to 2012
- New set of house price stylized facts
- ► Identify a "global liquidity shock" on house prices, and trace its impact on the macro-economy in both AEs and EMs using a panel VAR

#### Main results

#### Stylized facts

▶ Relative to AEs, house price inflation in EMs is higher, more volatile, less persistent, less synchronized across countries; and more associated with external variables

#### Main results

#### Stylized facts

► Relative to AEs, house price inflation in EMs is higher, more volatile, less persistent, less synchronized across countries; and more associated with external variables

#### Panel VAR

► The impact of a global liquidity shock on consumption, house prices and the current account is much larger in EMs than in AEs

#### Literature review

- ► Global house price cycle
  - [Andre (2010); Hirata et al. (2012); Igan and Loungani (2012); Claessens et al. (2012); Cesa-Bianchi (2013)]
- House prices and capital flows
  - [Laibson and Mollerstrom (2010); Favilukis et al. (2012); Adamet al. (2012); Ferrero (2012); Aizenman and Jinjarak (2009); Gete (2009); Sa et al. (2014)]
- Global liquidity
  - [Landau (2013), Rey (2013); Bruno and Shin (2014); Cerutti et al. (2014)]

#### **Outline**

- ► Data & (selection of) stylized facts
- Global liquidity
- Model
- ► Interpreting results


#### Data

- ► Unbalanced panel of 57 time series with varying coverage from 1990:Q1–2012:Q4
- ► Source: OECD, BIS, Dallas FED international house price databases National central banks, national statistical offices, and academic publications on housing markets


#### Data

- ► Unbalanced panel of 57 time series with varying coverage from 1990:Q1–2012:Q4
- Source: OECD, BIS, Dallas FED international house price databases
  National central banks, national statistical offices, and academic publications on housing markets
- ► Value added
  - Additional countries: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Czech Republic, India, Serbia, Taiwan, and Uruguay
  - Historical data: China, Estonia, Hong Kong, Hungary, Indonesia, Lithuania, Malaysia, Philippines, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia, and Thailand


# Data Map: Advanced Economies




# Data Map: Emerging Economies



# House price inflation strongly pro-cyclical, leads the monetary policy cycle, some (weak) association with CA and RER in AEs



# Similar patterns in EMs: weaker association with monetary cycle and RER; stronger association with CA




## **Global Liquidity: Definition**

- ► Global liquidity (GL) defined as "ease of funding in global financial markets" by BIS (CGFS No. 45)
- ► Financial sector's ability/willingness to provide cross-border credit
  - Key role of global banks

#### Global Liquidity: Data

International cross-border claims of BIS reporting banks vis-a-vis the banking sector



# **Global Liquidity: Interpretation**

► Literature typically distinguishes between global ("push") factors for capital flows from country-specific ("pull") factors

## **Global Liquidity: Interpretation**

- Literature typically distinguishes between global ("push") factors for capital flows from country-specific ("pull") factors
- ▶ We think of GL as a vector of "push" global credit supply shifters
  - US monetary policy ⇒ US Interest rates, US M2
  - ullet Global banks funding conditions  $\Longrightarrow$  US TED spread, Leverage, US Yield curve slope
  - ullet Risk appetite and uncertainty  $\Longrightarrow$  VIX

# Global Liquidity: Linkages with the macroeconomy

► GL shifts the international supply of credit ⇒ Increased cross-border bank credit

# Global Liquidity: Linkages with the macroeconomy

- ► GL shifts the international supply of credit ⇒ Increased cross-border bank credit
- ▶ In a domestic (open) economy:
  - Current account deteriorates
  - Exchange rate appreciates
  - House prices appreciate
  - Consumption increases
  - Interest rates response is theoretically ambiguous
- ▶ House prices and exchange rate appreciation can amplify the initial shock *via* the relaxation of (domestic or foreign) credit constraints

# Model: Panel VAR for all countries (excluding the US)

- VAR model for country i includes
  - GLOBAL LIQUIDITY
  - REAL CONSUMPTION
  - REAL HOUSE PRICE
  - REAL SHORT-TERM INT. RATE
  - REAL EFF. EXCH. RATE
  - CURRENT ACC. / GDP

# Model: Panel VAR for all countries (excluding the US)

- VAR model for country i includes
  - GLOBAL LIQUIDITY
  - REAL CONSUMPTION
  - REAL HOUSE PRICE
  - REAL SHORT-TERM INT. RATE
  - REAL EFF. EXCH. RATE
  - CURRENT ACC. / GDP
- System in log-levels, two lags, deterministic trends
- ▶ Mean group estimator ⇒ Dynamic panel data models with heterogenous slope coefficients

# **Identification: Global Liquidity Shock**

► Challenge: disentangling push versus pull. Identification is achieved in two steps


# Identification: Global Liquidity Shock

- ► Challenge: disentangling push versus pull. Identification is achieved in two steps
- ► **Aggregation**: no individual country is large enough to affect total cross-border banking credit significantly within a given quarter
  - Sum all GL measures across countries


# Identification: Global Liquidity Shock

- Challenge: disentangling push versus pull. Identification is achieved in two steps
- ► **Aggregation**: no individual country is large enough to affect total cross-border banking credit significantly within a given quarter
  - Sum all GL measures across countries
- ► External instruments approach [Stock and Watson (2012) and Mertens and Ravn (2013)]: no global common factor "pulls in" capital
  - Use the drivers of GL as instruments
  - Isolate the variation of the GL reduced-form residuals that are due only to supply "push" factors

# In AEs, GL shock increases house prices, consumption, and affects external sector. Monetary policy tightened as a response



# In EMs, effects much larger. Transmission mechanism also possibly different



#### Multipliers are sizable

- ► GL falls by 1 percent of world GDP (US\$ 1 trillion, or about 10 percent from its current level of US\$10-15 trillions)
- ► House price falls by 2/3 of a percentage point in AEs and more than 3% in EMs
- ► Consumption falls about 0.7% in AEs and more than 1.5% in EMs

# Inspecting the transmission mechanism

► How can we explain the different response of AEs and EMs?


# Inspecting the transmission mechanism

- ► How can we explain the different response of AEs and EMs?
- ► Conjecture: global liquidity shock relaxes borrowing constraints through increased value of collateral (more so in EMs)


# Inspecting the transmission mechanism

- ▶ How can we explain the different response of AEs and EMs?
- ► Conjecture: global liquidity shock relaxes borrowing constraints through increased value of collateral (more so in EMs)
- ► A (crude) counterfactual exercise: "close the channels" associated with financial frictions and look at the counterfactual estimated impulse


#### HP channel affects consumption in AEs




#### HP channel affects consumption in EMs, but also CA and RER




# Closing RER channel in AEs destabilizes consumption and HP




## Closing RER channel in EMs stabilizes consumption and HP




#### Adding credit reduces the differences between AEs and EMs




# Credit response less persistent in EMs




#### Closing HP channel contains credit in both AEs and EMs




#### Similar impact also on external sector



#### Closing RER channel contains credit in both AEs and EMs



# But closing RER channel has much larger impact on EMs



#### **Conclusions**

 Consumption and house prices in EMs respond strongly to liquidity conditions at the center (more than AEs)

#### **Conclusions**

- Consumption and house prices in EMs respond strongly to liquidity conditions at the center (more than AEs)
- ► The channel of transmission might be quite distinct, important role of the exchange rate for EMs

#### **Conclusions**

- Consumption and house prices in EMs respond strongly to liquidity conditions at the center (more than AEs)
- ► The channel of transmission might be quite distinct, important role of the exchange rate for EMs
- ▶ The Fed is about to turn its stance . . .
  - but there is plenty of scope for using domestic policies